Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

ELSEVIER



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/neuropsychologia

Neuropsychologia

State-dependent changes of connectivity patterns and functional brain network topology in autism spectrum disorder

Pablo Barttfeld^{a,c,*}, Bruno Wicker^{a,b}, Sebastián Cukier^{a,d}, Silvana Navarta^a, Sergio Lew^c, Ramón Leiguarda^d, Mariano Sigman^a

^a Laboratory of Integrative Neuroscience, Physics Department, FCEyN UBA and IFIBA, Conicet; Pabellón 1, Ciudad Universitaria, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina

^b Institut de Neurosciences de la Timone, CNRS UMR 7289 & Aix-Marseille University, Marseille, France

^c Instituto de Ingeniería Biomédica, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad de Buenos Aires, 1063 Buenos Aires, Argentina

^d FLENI, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 June 2012 Received in revised form 13 September 2012 Accepted 28 September 2012 Available online 5 October 2012

Keywords: Autism spectrum disorders Functional connectivity Brain states ADOS score

ABSTRACT

Anatomical and functional brain studies have converged to the hypothesis that autism spectrum disorders (ASD) are associated with atypical connectivity. Using a modified resting-state paradigm to drive subjects' attention, we provide evidence of a very marked interaction between ASD brain functional connectivity and cognitive state. We show that functional connectivity changes in opposite ways in ASD and typicals as attention shifts from external world towards one's body generated information. Furthermore, ASD subject alter more markedly than typicals their connectivity across cognitive states. Using differences in brain connectivity across conditions, we ranked brain regions according to their classification power. Anterior insula and dorsal-anterior cingulate cortex were the regions that better characterize ASD differences with typical subjects across conditions, and this effect was modulated by ASD severity. These results pave the path for diagnosis of mental pathologies based on functional brain networks obtained from a library of mental states.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Autism spectrum disorders (ASD) refer to neurodevelopmental disorders characterized by poor social communication abilities in combination with repetitive behaviours and restricted interests (APA, 2000). Research in the physiopathology of ASD has largely focused on the identification of structural or functional brain abnormalities. Structural MRI studies have reported abnormal developmental trajectory of brain growth, with evidence of poorly organized white matter (Alexander et al. 2007; Barnea-Goraly, Lotspeich, & Reiss, 2010; Egaas, Courchesne, & Saitoh, 1995; Fletcher et al., 2010; Herbert et al., 2005; McAlonan et al., 2005; Thakkar et al., 2008), atypicalities in gyration and cortical thickness patterns (Hadjikhani, Joseph, Snyder, & Tager-Flusberg, 2006; Hardan, Muddasani, Vemulapalli, Keshavan, & Minshew, 2006), possibly caused by irregular neuronal migration, cortical organization and myelinisation in ASD (Wass, 2011). Functional neuroimaging studies using a range of experimental tasks targeting emotional and social information processing have reported hypoactivation in the fusiform gyrus, the amygdala, the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, the superior temporal sulcus, and insula

0028-3932/\$ - see front matter @ 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2012.09.047 (Di Martino et al., 2009; Hadjikhani et al., 2006). A recent shift in emphasis to investigating dynamic processes of functional brain connectivity has led to a convergence on the hypothesis that ASD is associated with atypical connectivity, producing a system that is ineffective for integrating information. Functional brain networks of ASD compared to typical subjects in the resting state (i.e., during free thought) showed qualitatively different organizations at the group level, which broadly reflects a deficit in long-range connectivity, especially along the long distance frontoposterior axis (Barttfeld et al., 2011; Schipul, Keller, & Just, 2011; see Wass, 2011 for review). At the neuronal level, these findings are supported by data revealing a different ASD phenotype in neurons and axons that make up the brain's communication system (van Kooten et al., 2008; Zikopoulos & Barbas, 2010).

In the resting state, the state of the subjects' mind is not controlled and very broadly defined by task instructions such as "keep your eyes closed and try not to move" (Supekar, Menon, Rubin, Musen, & Greicius, 2008), "keep your eyes open and relax. Remain awake and try to let thoughts pass through your mind without focusing on anything in particular" (Anderson et al., 2011), "close your eyes and think about nothing in particular throughout the acquisition of the resting state BOLD data" (Stamatakis, Adapa, Absalom, & Menon, 2010). A latent question when comparing connectivity in the resting state in different populations is whether the content of thoughts is the same but

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: pablob@df.uba.ar (P. Barttfeld).

the neurophysiological organization differs or if, instead, the pattern of thoughts is different across populations when instructed to think freely. A possible way to start addressing this issue is to narrow the state by progressively focusing the contents of thoughts. In a recent review, Tang, Rothbart, and Posner (2012) discuss how brain networks organize in the maintenance of three well defined states: resting, alert, and meditation. Here, we reasoned that different brain states may have different sensitivities on how they change across groups.

In consequence, in the present work we investigated whether the difference in large-scale brain network organization between ASD and typical subjects depends on the specific task in which subjects are engaged. We measured functional brain connectivity in three different brain states, varying the focus of attention on internal stimulus (focus on respiration, interoceptive state), external auditory stimulus (oddball task, exteroceptive state), or having the subjects lying eye closed in the scanner (mind-wandering, resting state). The choice of these three states (one could have asked subjects to calculate, to evoke episodic memories or any conceivable state in a broad lexicon of mental states) has two principal motivations. First, as in the mentioned work of Tang, Rothbart and Posner, these are well defined parametric states which progressively vary the focus of attention. Second, complementary sources of evidence indicate that ASD may affect distinctively interoceptive and exteroceptive function. A "salience network" (SN) - whose key brain regions are bilateral anterior insula (AI) and anterior cingulate (AC) - that mediates the dynamic interactions between externally oriented attention and internally oriented self-related processes, is affected in ASD (Sridharan, Levitin, & Menon, 2008; Uddin & Menon, 2009). SN, and AI and AC in particular, is situated at the interface between the cognitive, homeostatic and affective systems of the human brain, providing a link between stimulus-driven processing and brain regions involved in monitoring the internal milieu and interoceptive awareness of physiological changes in the body (Craig, 2002, 2009, 2010, 2011; Menon, 2011). Critchley, Wiens, Rotshtein, Ohman and Dolan (2004) provided evidence for strong links between the right AI, interoception (perception of one's own bodily state), and the experience of emotion (Lamm & Singer, 2010; Straube & Miltner, 2011). In concordance, interoceptive representation has been suggested to modulate many skills known to be compromized in ASD, such as motivational behavior (Craig, 2002), empathy (Lamm, Decety, & Singer, 2011; Lamm & Singer, 2010), processing of basic emotions (Wicker et al., 2003) and social skills (Bird et al., 2010). Together, this poses interoception as a relevant brain state to explore in ASD. Hence, we set to investigate how brain networks differ in ASD and typical groups in the interoceptive, resting and exteroceptive states.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Participants

Two groups of subjects took part in this study. The ASD group included 12 right-handed individuals with high-functioning autism or Asperger's syndrome (9 men and 3 women; mean age=23.7, std=7.13). Diagnosis of ASD was established by the revised fourth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders and on the score on the autistic diagnostic observation schedule-generic (Lord et al., 2000) by an autism expert (author S.C.). IQs were measured with the third edition of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale and ranged from 85 to 121 (mean=101.33, SD=13.79). At the time of testing, no ASD subject had known associated medical disorders. ASD participants were matched to a group of 12 right-handed typically developing individuals (8 men and 4 women; mean age=28.83; std= 5.00; IQ: mean=108.91 SD=12.62). Participants in the typical group had a history free of psychiatric disorders (Table 1).

2.2. fMRI acquisition

Functional images were acquired on GE Hdx 3T with a conventional 8 channels head coil. Twenty four axial slices (5 mm thick) were acquired parallel to the plane

Table 1

Details of ASD and typical subjects: diagnosis, IQ and ADOS scores.

Group	Age	Total IQ	ADOS			
			Comm.	Soc. int.	Total	
Control ASD	28.8 (5.00) 23.7 (7.13)	108.91 (12.62) 101.33 (13.79)	- 3.5	- 6.66	- 10.16	

connecting the anterior and posterior commissures and covering the whole brain (TR=2000 ms, TE=35 ms, flip angle=90). To aid in the localization of functional data, high-resolution images (3D Fast SPGR-IR, inversion time 700 mm; FA=15; FOV=192 \times 256 \times 256 mm; matrix 512 \times 512 \times 168; slice thickness 1.1 mm) were also acquired.

Subjects underwent three functional runs lasting 7 mins 22 s each. During all runs a series of tones were presented at very low volume within the noise of the scanner. The duration of each tone was 200 ms and the inter-tone interval was 400 ms. The pitch of the majority (94%) of the tones of the sequence was 400 Hz. The remaining tones (referred as oddballs) had a slightly higher pitch (410 Hz), and were presented, on average, every 15 tones. In the resting state run, subjects were instructed to keep their eyes closed, and avoid moving and falling asleep. In the interoceptive state run, subjects were instructed to focus on their respiration cycle, perceiving the air flowing in and out. In the exteroceptive state run, participants were asked to focus on the sequence of sounds and identify the oddballs. The three runs were randomized across participants. A strict measure of audibility of the tones was not necessary for the purpose of this study since our aim was to broadly direct attention endogenously or exogenously and, specifically, we did not want to embed a blocks/trials structure in the fMRI recording sessions. Nevertheless, to get a broad measure of performance, we asked the subjects after each session to estimate how many oddballs they detected. Participants of both groups overestimated on average the number of oddballs in the exteroceptive condition (presented = 72, typical = 81.25 ± 18.10 , ASD = 85.83 ± 18.92). In the other two conditions, participants largely underestimated the number of oddballs: (interoceptive: typical = 7.75 ± 4.58 , ASD = 12.21 ± 9.16 ; resting: typical = 8.17 ± 6.41 , ASD = 10.55 \pm 7.50). A two-way ANOVA revealed that the effect of state on the number of reported items had a very strong effect of condition (F(2,66)=262.49; p < 0.0001) and no effect of group (F(1,66)=1.17; p > 0.1). In conversations after the experiment, all participants reported that they thought that the oddballs were only densely presented in the exteroceptive condition, which indicates that the tones were well camouflaged within the noise of the scanner in absence of voluntary directed attention to them.

2.3. Data processing and analysis

Functional data were preprocessed using statistical parametric mapping software (SPM5: http://fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm). The first 4 volumes of each run were discarded to allow for longitudinal relaxation time equilibration. EPI images from all sessions were slice-time corrected and aligned to the first volume of the first session of scanning to correct for head movement between scans. There was no excessive motion in any of the scans (mean movement controls=0.55 mm, std controls=0.1922 mm; mean movement ASD=0.66 mm, std controls=0.2200 mm). There were no statistical differences in motion across groups (two-way ANOVA, F(2,44) = 3.00; p > 0.05), condition (F(2,44) = 1.88; p > 0.05) or their interaction (two way ANOVA F(1,44) =1.78: p > 0.05). Here we did not correct for motion, however a motion correction of the signal yielded the same results (Supplementary Fig. 4). A mean image was created using the realigned volumes. T1-weighted structural images were first co-registered to the mean EPI image of each participant. Normalization parameters between the coregistered T1 and the standard MNI T1 template were then calculated, and applied to the anatomy and all EPI volumes. Data were then smoothed using an 8 mm full-widthat-half-maximum isotropic Gaussian kernel to accommodate for inter-subject differences in anatomy.

One hundred-sixty previously published regions defining 6 functional networks (fronto-parietal (FP), cingulo-opercular (OP), default brain network (DEF, occipital (OC), sensorimotor (SE) and cerebellum (CER) were used to build spherical ROIs defined as the set of voxels contained in a 5-mm sphere around a coordinate (Dosenbach et al. 2010). The mean time course in each ROI was extracted by averaging the time courses of all of the voxels contained in the ROI (http://marsbar.sourceforge.net). For each ROI, a time series was extracted separately for each individual and each experimental condition. These regional fMRI time series were then used to construct a 160-node functional connectivity network for each subject and condition. We used wavelet analysis to construct correlation matrices from the time series (Supekar et al., 2008). We followed the procedures exactly as described by Supekar et al. (2008): We applied a maximum overlap discrete wavelet transform (MODWT) to each of the time series to obtain the contributing signal in the following three frequency components: scale 1 (0.13 to 0.25 Hz), scale 2 (0.06 to 0.12 Hz), and scale 3 (0.01 to 0.05 Hz). Several studies have suggested that wavelet filtering might be better suited for fMRI time series than Fourier filtering (Achard, Salvador, Whitcher, Suckling, & Bullmore, 2006; Supekar et al., 2008). All subsequent analysis was done based on the scale 3 component, whose frequency lies in the range of slow frequency correlations of the default network (Fox et al., 2005; Raichle, 2009). Broadening the frequency spectrum from 0.01 to 0.12 Hz did not qualitatively change the results (see Fig. S5). To account for the relatively small number (220) of data points per time series for low frequency correlation analysis, the vector representing the time series beyond its boundaries (0 and 220) was assumed to be a symmetric reflection of itself (Supekar et al., 2008). The resulting connectivity matrices describe frequency-dependent correlations, a measure of functional connectivity, between spatially-distinct brain regions.

2.4. Regression to ADOS score

а

We also conducted a regression to ADOS score. First, we conducted a seed analysis (Fox et al., 2005), with the five most relevant ROIs for the classification analysis as seeds (i.e., those ROIS that most contributed to classify the subjects) (See Fig. 4a–e for a diagram of the analysis pipeline). These ROIS were – in descending relevance – from CO network: Anterior insula [38, 21, –1], dorsal anterior cingulate [9, 20, 34], anterior prefrontal cortex [27, 49, 26], basal ganglia [–6, 17, 34], medial frontal cortex [0, 15, 45]; from FP network: intraparietal sulcus [32, –59, 41], dorsal frontal cortex [44, 8, 34], dorsal frontal cortex [40, 17, 40], inferior parietal lobule [44, –52, 47], dorsal frontal cortex [–42, 7, 36]; from DEF network: precueus [9, –43, 25], left inferior temporal cortex [-6, 1, -2], ventromedial prefrontal cortex [9, 51, 16], angular gyrus [51, –59, 34], right

Exteroceptive

inferior temporal cortex [52, -15, -13] (Fig. 4b). To obtain a brain volume containing the correlation of every voxel with the seed ROI, we followed the same methods than for the connectivity analysis, calculating the wavelet-correlation at low frequencies between each seed's time series and all voxel time series for each subject and condition, obtaining five volumes per subject and condition (one per ROI) (Fig. 4c). We averaged these five volumes to get an average volume representing the average connectivity between all voxels in the brain and the top five ROIs of each functional network. Using these correlation volumes we conducted a second-level multiple regression analysis, including IQ and sex as covariables of no interest, to regress each correlation value to the ADOS score (a single value per subject obtained by adding the "Communication" and "Social Interaction" subscores" of ADOS test) (Fig. 4d). To account for multiple comparisons, the resulting statistical images were assessed for cluster-wise significance using a cluster-defined threshold of p=0.005; extent threshold=5 voxels (Fig. 4e and f)

2.5. Graph theory metrics

Interoceptive

The connectivity matrix defines a weighted graph where each ROI corresponds to a node and the weight of each link is determined by the wavelets correlation at low frequency. To calculate network measures, functional connectivity matrices were converted to binary undirected matrices by applying a threshold *T* on the correlation value. We explored a broad range of threshold values of 0.0005 < T < 1, with increments of 0.001 - and repeated the full analysis for each value of *T*. After transforming the functional connectivity matrices to a binary undirected graph.



Resting

Fig. 1. Networks connectivity matrices. (a) Averaged correlation matrices, for groups and conditions. Bottom raw shows *t*-values for test-*t* between groups. (b) *T*-value distributions for ASD (red) and typicals (blue). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

we measured the degree (K), path length (L) and clustering coefficient (C) using the BCT toolbox (Sporns & Zwi, 2004). Combining the metrics C and L. we calculated S = C/L, as an estimate of the small world properties of the networks. Small-world refers to an ubiquitous present topological network which has a relatively short (compared to random networks) characteristic path length (L) and high clustering coefficient (C) (Watts & Strogatz, 1998). Network visualizations were performed using the Pajek software package (http://pajek.imfm.si/doku.php) using a Fruchterman-Reingold layout algorithm (Fruchterman & Reingold, 1991). We used an arbitrary correlation threshold of 0.55, merely for visualization purposes; results were robusts within a wide range of thresholds and statistical analysis used a varying threshold as an independent factor (see Supplementary Fig. S3). Differences between groups were assessed by means of independent ANOVAs with group and threshold (the correlation cutoff to determine whether two ROIs are connected) as independent factors. A broad range of thresholds was used, from 50 to 750, in steps of 50 (excluding the extreme values where networks disaggregate).

2.6. Classification analysis

In order to investigate the interaction between cognitive states and individual ROI connectivity, we conducted a multi-parametric classification analysis using support vector machines (SVM) (Theodoridis, 2009), to classify subjects as typical and ASD. We selected the degree (K) of each individual ROI as features, averaged across all thresholds to avoid the use of an arbitrary one. We chose SVM because they are resilient to over-fitting and allow the extraction of feature weights (Dosenbach et al., 2010; Formisano, De Martino, Bonte, & Goebel, 2008; Norman, Polyn, Detre, & Haxby, 2006) to explore in an unbiased way the ROIs that better characterize ASD changes across conditions. A leave-one-out-cross-validation was used to estimate the significance of the classification performance. This is a frequently used method because it allows the use of most of the data for training (Fukunaga & Hummels, 1989). In this procedure, all samples except one are used to train the SVM. The remaining sample is used to test the decision function derived from the training stage. Each sample is designated only once as test, and the final accuracy of the SVM is calculated averaging the accuracies for all test stages (repeating the analysis for each sample as test sample). A permutation analysis was used to assess statistics of the classification procedure (Golland & Fischl, 2003). The whole process of classification was repeated 10.000 times, randomizing class labels to estimate an empirical distribution of the classifier accuracies under the hypothesis of no discriminability (no actual group separation). P values are estimated as the proportion of accuracies in the null-distribution higher than the observed accuracy.

3. Results

As expected from previous work (Dosenbach et al. 2007, 2010), simple inspection of correlation matrices for all conditions and groups (Fig. 1a) reveals that the ROIs within each functional system form clusters, with closely correlated temporal profiles. For each state, we conducted t-tests for each entry of the matrix comparing the ASD and typical group of subjects (Fig. 1a). A positive *t*-value indicates that connectivity increased in ASD compared to typical population. Conversely, a negative t-value indicates that connectivity is greater in the typical than in the ASD population. Fig. 1b shows the distribution of absolute *t*-values to picture an unsigned estimate of change across groups for each cognitive state). The distributions of t-values differences between groups is shifted towards negative values, showing a strong decreased connectivity in ASD compared to typicals in the exteroceptive condition (mean = -0.5539; std = 0.937; t-value = -94.53, Clmin = -0.5654;CImax = -0.5424). The same trend is observed in the resting state condition (mean = -0.0938; std = 1.035; t-value = -14.507; CImin = -0.10; CImax = -0.08). By contrast, an opposite effect is observed in the Introspective state condition, where there is a very pronounced increase of connectivity in the ASD compared to typical group (mean = 0.4837; std = 1.059; *t*-value = 73.053, Clmin=0.4707; Clmax=0.496). This shows that, averaging across all pairs of regions, connectivity increases in the ASD group for the interoceptive condition and decreases for the exteroceptive state condition. To better quantify this we conducted independent mixed ANOVAs with group as a between subjects factor, and type of connection (21 possible symmetrical combinations between and within functional the 6 functional systems) as within factor. Full

results of the ANOVA are listed on Table S1. The effect of connection type was significant for the three cognitive states, confirming the functional segregation of the 6 functional systems and an inhomogeneity in their specific pattern of connection (Dosenbach et al., 2010). In the exteroceptive and interoceptive states we observed a significant effect of group with no interaction between both factors: Effect of group in the exteroceptive (F(1,231)=15,55; p < 0.001; mean typical=0.4089; meanASD=0.3565), and interoceptive (F(1,231)=9.72; p < 0.01; mean typical=0.3772; mean ASD=0.4205). Instead, in the resting state the effect of group was non significant (F(1,231)=0.58; p > 0.1; mean typical=0.3741: mean ASD=0.3664), with no interaction between type of connection and group. These results reveal that, as seemed apparent from an inspection of Fig. 1, average functional brain connectivity decreases in the exteroceptive state condition and increases in the interoceptive state condition in ASD compared to typicals. In the resting state condition the excess and deficit of connectivity balance.

The previous analysis investigated how brain connectivity networks varied across groups for each cognitive state. Another possible way of analysing our factorial dataset is to investigate how networks vary within states for each group. Simple inspection of the connectivity matrices (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 2) suggests that changes in connectivity matrices are more pronounced across states in ASD subjects than in typicals. To quantify this observation we conducted within group *t*-tests for each entry of the matrix comparing connectivity between exteroceptive and interoceptive state conditions (Supplementary Fig. 2). A positive *t*-value indicates that connectivity increased in the interoceptive state compared to the exteroceptive state condition. Conversely, a negative t-value indicates that connectivity is greater in the exteroceptive than in the interoceptive state condition. The distributions of *t*-values differences for the typical group is almost centered at zero (mean = 0.01; std = 0.734; *t*-value = -3.43, CImin = 0.0068; CImax = 0.024), showing that there is no net change in connectivity across conditions. On the contrary, the distribution of *t*-values for the ASD group is strongly shifted to positive values (mean=0.4837; std=1.059; t-value= 112.19, Clmin=0.5575; Clmax=0.5773). This shows that connectivity in ASD subjects strongly fluctuates in different cognitive states while in the typical subjects it shows modest fluctuations and remains relatively stable. The systems showing greater variability in connectivity across states in the ASD groups were the CO, FP and DEF (Supplementary Fig. 2).

To investigate the impact of changes in connectivity in network topology, we constructed functional networks assigning one node for each ROI and considering a link between nodes if connectivity exceeded a correlation threshold. The embedded functional networks revealed patterns consistent with Fig. 1 and allow to further zoom in specific topological changes between groups and states. Networks of both groups are quite similar in the resting state condition, with the exception of the default system whose nodes are quite apart in the ASD network but form a compact cluster in the typical network (Fig. 2a, pink dots, centre). This is consistent with previous observations identifying a main change in the connectivity of the default system between ASD and typicals in the resting state (Courchesne, Redcay, Morgan, & Kennedy, 2005; Just, Cherkassky, Keller, Kana, & Minshew, 2007; Kennedy & Courchesne, 2008; Monk et al., 2009; Weng et al., 2010; for review see Belmonte et al., 2004; Wass, 2011). Networks obtained in the exteroceptive state condition show more pronounced topological differences across both groups: The typical network is more packed and clustered, suggesting a lower diameter of the entire network (Fig. 2a, left). By contrast, the ASD network is more compact in the interoceptive state condition. We observe that the cingulo-opercular (black dots) system is more



Fig. 2. Organization of functional brain networks and network metrics. (a) Two-dimensional projections of the networks for ASD and typical subjects for all conditions (b) comparison of S = C/L which estimates the small-worldness of a network for typical and ASD. ASD subjects present lower *S* in exteroceptive, almost equal *S* in resting and higher *S* in interoceptive. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

tightly packed (self-connected) for the ASD group, and closely connected to the fronto-parietal (yellow) and default (pink) systems (Fig. 2a, right).

To quantify these observations we performed independent ANOVA analyses for the path length (L) and the clustering coefficient (C) (Gallos, Song, Havlin, & Makse, 2007; Sporns & Zwi, 2004) and for each states with group and threshold as independent factors. Threshold effect was significant for all conditions, while interaction between group and threshold was never significant. This means that threshold selection did not change the pattern observed across groups. Comparisons between typical and ASD revealed an effect of group on C (higher in ASD) and L (lower in ASD) in the interoceptive state condition (C: *F*(1,330)=4.60; *p* < 0.05; *L*: *F*(1,330)=8.52; *p* < 0.01). Comparisons between groups also revealed a main effect of group in the exteroceptive state condition for C (lower in ASD) and L (higher in ASD) (C: F(1,330)=6.60; p < 0.01; L: F(1,330)=8.73; p < 0,01). On the contrary, there was no effect either for C nor for L in the resting state condition (*C*: *F*(1,330)=0.17; *p* > 0.1; *L*: *F*(1,330)= 0.02; p > 0.5). The combined changes of path length and clustering can be combined in the quotient S=C/L (Fig. 2b), which indicates how closely the network is organized as a small-world (The higher S, the more small-world organization). Our results show that S increases for the typical compared to the ASD group in the exteroceptive state condition (F(1,330) = 10.01; p < 0.01). Instead, S is higher for the ASD than the typical group in the interoceptive state condition (F(1,330) = 17.4; p < 0.0001). There are no significant differences in the resting state condition (F(1,330)=0.27; p > 0.5) (Fig. 2b).

Next, we investigated which ROIs are the most informative to distinguish subjects from the typical or ASD group. We used a support vector machine (SVM), an algorithm from the machine learning field widely used in the classification of neuroimages (Ecker et al. 2010; Kloppel et al., 2011 Theodoridis, 2009; Vapnik, 1998). We investigated the classification power of functional connectivity using the degree of each ROI as the main classification feature.

As simply expected from the results reported above, classification was maximal when the difference in connectivity between these two states was used as a feature, reaching classification values of 91%. Classification was very poor when it was based in connectivity in a single state (see Supplementary Table S2). We emphasize that the aim here is not to provide a robust measure of the classification of efficiency of this procedure since to be meaningful it should be validated in larger and independently in different cohorts. Instead, our goal is to use the condition where we found maximal differences when collapsing across all brain regions, to identify the individual contributions of different ROIs. SVM analysis allows to measure the weight with which each ROI contributes to the classification (Fig. 3). To visualize the relative contribution of different systems and ROIs to classification, we sorted the value of all features weighted by their classification power. This analysis showed that the majority of ROIs that better separate ASD from typicals belong to the cingulo-opercular (CO) system (see Supplementary Table S3 for a full list of ROI weights). The four regions with the strongest classification power are: right anterior insula [38, 21, -1], the dorsal anterior cingulate [9, 20, 34], the right anterior prefrontal cortex [27, 49, 26] and the basal ganglia [-6, 17, 34]), which belong to the CO. Within the DEF system, the ROIs with strongest classification power are the precuneus [9, -43, 25], left inferior temporal cortex [-61, -41, -2], ventromedial prefrontal cortex [9, 51, 16], right angular gyrus [51, -59, 34], and right inferior temporal cortex [52, -15, -13]. In the FP system, the ROIs that are within the top 20 contributors to classification are right intraparietal sulcus [32, -59, 41], right dorsal frontal cortex [44, 8, 34 and 40, 17, 40]], and right inferior parietal lobule [44, -52, 47] (Fig. 3).

The preceding analysis is based on categorical classifications, where each participant is assigned to the typical or ASD group. Although significant and highly consistent, these analyses are based on a relatively small sample size (a total of 24 subjects, 12 belonging to each category). A more taxing way to investigate the impact of specific connectivity patterns and their dependence



Fig. 3. Classification analysis. (a) (up) Weighted degree for all subjects, sorted by the difference between groups. (Down) Averaged across subjects per group showing the incremental difference between degree between groups. Shadow represents s.e.m. (b) Top 20 ROIs for the classification analysis. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 4. ((a)-(e)) Diagram of the regression analysis pipeline. We conducted a seed analysis with the five most relevant ROIs for the classification analysis as seeds. We averaged these five volumes to get an average volume representing the average connectivity between all voxels in the brain and the top five ROIs of each functional network (Fig. 4(c)). Using these correlation volumes we conducted a second-level multiple regression analysis, including IQ and sex as covariables of no interest, to regress each correlation value to the ADOS score of each ASD subjects (Fig. 4(d)–(e)). (f) Projection of statistically significant clusters for positive (red) and negative (blue) correlation between ADOS and connectivity are found in interoceptive conditions. There are no significant correlation between ADOS and exteroceptive condition. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

with cognitive state on ASD is to investigate progressive changes in connectivity with a continuous progression of ASD severity. If the observed differences in connectivity between groups truly characterize ASD, these differences should also progress according to the severity of ASD. ASD severity is measured through ADOS score which varied from 7 (the minimal value for ASD diagnosis) to 16 in our clinical population. We examined how the connectivity between ROIs and the rest of the brain covaried with ADOS (Fig. 4a).

We observed a strong dependence of connectivity with ADOS in the resting and interoceptive state conditions (Fig. 4f). We refer below to the most relevant findings, but a full detail of the correlation maps is presented in Table S4. First we note that none of the correlations was significant in the exteroceptive state condition. This finding is somewhat puzzling since we had observed that at the group level connectivity decreased for the ASD compared to typicals. The ADOS regression analysis shows that connectivity decrease in exteroceptive state is not smoothly graded with the severity of the disease. Second, we observe a main trend in regions showing negative covariation (i.e., whose connectivity decreases with ADOS): negative covariations are only

observed in the resting state condition (Fig. 4f, middle and right). They are largely localized within the posterior brain in the parietal, occipital and temporal regions, which tend to be more disconnected from the three main systems (CO, FP and DEF). Instead, regions showing positive correlations (i.e., whose connectivity increases with ADOS) are mainly observed in the Interoceptive state condition and largely localized in the more dorsal regions of the brain. This shows that connectivity monotonically increases as a function of ADOS score during interoceptive states. The same pattern (increase in connectivity in interoceptive and decrease in resting) was observed in the between-groups analysis (Figs. 1 and 2) revealing a gradual effect observed across groups and within the ASD group progressing with severity as indexed by ADOS score.

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to characterize and compare large-scale functional brain connectivity networks in ASD and typical subjects in three different cognitive states. The main novelty of our work lies in demonstrating that connectivity changes between ASD and typical populations are state dependent. Beyond the specific functional properties of the brain areas involved, which we review below, our results show a consistent global trend in the ASD relative to the typical population: brain network connectivity increases when attention is directed towards an internal stimulus (interoceptive state) and decreases when attention is directed to an external stimulus (exteroceptive state). In the resting state, our data replicate previous findings suggesting a decrease in the intrinsic connectivity of the default system in ASD (Courchesne et al., 2005; Just et al., 2007; Kennedy & Courchesne, 2008; Monk et al., 2009; Weng et al., 2010; for review see Belmonte et al., 2004; Wass, 2011). In addition, the classification analysis revealed that changes in brain networks connectivity in different mental states are more informative than direct comparison of brain networks between populations in a given state to distinguish typical and ASD subjects, and that the more informative ROIs are located mainly within cinguloopercular network.

Neuroanatomical brain abnormalities in psychiatric pathologies have previously been investigated as potential classifiers aiming to assist or refine diagnosis (Ecker et al., 2010; Kloppel et al., 2011, 2008). Most studies using a classification approach to explore specific neuropathological underpinnings and brainbased biomarkers of ASD have used sets of morphological data parameters such as cortical thickness or gray matter volume (Ecker et al., 2010; Ecker et al., 2010; Uddin et al., 2011), and only one uses functional connectivity to classify ASD subjects (Anderson et al., 2011).

The six regions showing the highest classification accuracy in our study - anterior insula (AI), dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC), anterior prefrontal cortex (aPFC), intra-parietals sulcus (IPS), middle frontal cortex (mFC), and precuneus (PC) - are relevant to the ASD pathology. In our data, the anterior insula (AI) showed the greatest classification accuracy and appears as a key region showing differences between exteroceptive and interoceptive attentional states. It is also one of the brain regions showing the strongest correlation with ADOS score. Several independent sources of evidence predict that the AI would play a key role in this classification process. First, AI is situated at the interface between the cognitive, homeostatic and affective systems of the human brain, providing a link between stimulusdriven processing and brain regions involved in monitoring the internal milieu and interoceptive awareness of physiological changes in the body (Craig, 2002, 2009, 2010, 2011; Menon, 2011). Critchley, Wiens, Rotshtein, Ohman and Dolan (2004) provided evidence that there are strong links between the right AI, perception of one's own bodily state, and the experience of emotion. The AI would be part of a "salience network" that mediates dynamic interactions between externally oriented attention and internally oriented self-related processes, and serves to integrate sensory data with visceral, autonomic, and hedonic information (Sridharan et al., 2008; Uddin & Menon, 2009). Second, it has been shown to be involved in social cognition and emotion processing, through integration of interoceptive informations and body awareness (Lamm & Singer, 2010; Straube & Miltner, 2011). Interestingly, interoceptive representation has been suggested to modulate many skills known to be compromized in ASD, such as motivational behavior (Craig, 2002). empathy (Lamm et al., 2011; Lamm & Singer, 2010), processing of basic emotions (Wicker et al., 2003) and social skills such as theory of mind (Bird et al., 2010). In concordance, AI has been reported as significantly hypo-activated and underconnected in ASD during social and empathic tasks (Di Martino et al., 2009; Silani et al., 2008). Third, at the anatomical level, the AI is among the few brain regions (along with the dACC, our second most predictive ROI) containing Von Economo or "spindle" neurons, thought to be unique to higher primates (Nimchinsky et al., 1999) and whose abnormal development may cause the social disabilities characteristic of ASD (Allman, Watson, Tetreault, & Hakeem, 2005; Frith, 2001; Santos et al., 2010).

Craig and collaborators have postulated that the AI is an evolutionary specialization in primates that is tailored to integrate a map of internal bodily states with motivational drives generated in the dACC (see Craig, 2009 for review). Interestingly, the dACC ranks second in classification accuracy and our results demonstrate specifically that dACC and AI connectivity (both within the cingulo-opercular system) show a very marked interaction with condition and cognitive state: their connectivity increases in ASD compared to typical population in the interoceptive state and decreases in ASD compared to typical population in the exteroceptive state. Consistent with this, hyper-activation of the dACC to social targets has been reported to predict the severity of social impairments in ASD subjects (Dichter, Felder, & Bodfish, 2009). Similarly, our results are inline with the observed specific increase in functional connectivity between striatal subregions - another cingulo-opercular ROI – and insula (Di Martino et al., 2011) which in our study is only observed in the interoceptive state. AI and dACC are believed to constitute the main nodes of the cinguloopercular system, and to integrate cognitive, homeostatic and emotional information (Menon, 2011; Menon & Uddin, 2010). Altogether, previous data consistently show that interaction of the AI with other brain systems such as the dACC plays a key role in the mediation of interoceptive and exteroceptive states. The function of this 'salience network' is to identify the most homeostatically relevant among several internal and extrapersonal stimuli in order to guide behavior (Seeley et al., 2007). Our findings suggest that this "salience network" may be affected by ASD condition.

The right aPFC ranked third in our classification analysis. This region has been related to several functions including explicit processing of internal states and the introspective evaluation of one's own thoughts and feelings (Fleming, Weil, Nagy, Dolan, & Rees, 2010). Anterior PFC functioning and structure are also known to be altered in ASD, with evidence of dysfunctioning during executive functioning (Kawakubo et al., 2009), mentalising (Dumontheil, Burgess, & Blakemore, 2008; Schmitz et al., 2006) and self representation (Lombardo et al., 2010). Structurally, the aPFC is characterized by an increased amount of gray matter in ASD (Lombardo et al., 2012). The dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) has been repeatedly reported as underactivated in ASD, particularly during tasks requiring attribution of mental states or

social information processing (Castelli, Frith, Happe, & Frith, 2002). Furthermore, abnormal effective connectivity has been reported between the mPFC and the right lateral anterior prefrontal cortex in a task involving explicit emotional processing in ASD (Wicker et al., 2008). Abnormal development of the medial prefrontal cortex has been proposed in ASD, with evidence of abnormal local over-connectivity and long distance disconnection (Courchesne et al., 2005; Zikopoulos & Barbas, 2010). The mPFC is also a key structure of the default mode network, along with the IPS and the precuneus, two brain regions ranking high in the classification analysis. Abnormal functioning of these structures was reported in several resting state studies in ASD (Kana, Keller, Cherkassky, Minshew, & Just, 2009; Monk et al., 2009).

Recent mathematical efforts have established connecting bridges between connectivity measures and functional properties of the emergent network (Gallos et al., 2007; Sporns & Zwi, 2004) and the main relevance of brain connectivity patterns lies in their implications for global function in terms of information transfer and segregation between regions. While at this stage conclusions based on graph metrics are purely speculative, our findings suggest that the ASD functional connectivity brain networks largely vary across conditions: when subjects are asked to focus attention to external stimuli, the associated brain connectivity network reveals sub-optimal metrics, suggesting that ASD networks are badly suited for this kind of information processing. When attention is focused on internally generated stimuli, ASD brain networks improve their metrics - even surpassing those of typicals' – suggesting that ASD networks may be better tuned for interoception. Although the processing of an interoceptive stimulus may be adequate, it is the balance and switch between exteroceptive and interoceptive information and the importance that they are assigned that could be different in ASD.

What would be the consequences of such dysfunctionning of the cingulo-opercular network salience network in ASD? On a speculative ground, it is possible that ASD subjects are more likely to focus on "internal sensations" than typical subjects. But paying too much attention to internal information can be a problem. Indeed, typical individuals can attend to higher level cognitive and social tasks by virtue of not needing to attend to the background delivery of interoceptive information. In ASD subjects, on the other hand, interoceptive information might become distracting, creating an imbalance between functional brain networks and sources of information. In this view, ASD subjects would have an enhanced perception of their body landscape. This idea is inline with a conception of the anterior insula providing the anatomical basis for emotional awareness (Craig, 2002), directly relating interoceptive states and emotional feelings and providing neural basis for the processes enabling mental interoceptive predictions of the consequences of emotional behaviors as proposes by the somatic marker hypothesis (Damasio, 1996).

An interesting and challenging idea linked to the fact that the ASD physiopathology may be mainly expressed in changes in connectivity of core ROIs of the cingulo-opercular system such as AI and dACC is the recently proposed "Triple Network model" of psychopatology (Menon, 2011). This model proposes that most, if not all major psychopathologies are due to dysfunctions in large scale brain networks, principally involving these nodes of the CO system. The CO system dysfunction plays a major role: weak mapping from the AI–dACC areas gives rise to aberrant engagement of the FP system, compromising cognition and goal-relevant adaptive behavior (Menon, 2011).

An open aspect of these results is whether the network changes between the two groups reveal a distinct pattern of thoughts, a different functional implementation of a comparable pattern of thoughts, or both. This note of caution is actually relevant for all studies comparing resting state activity between

groups. The content of thoughts evoked during the resting state of a group of patients might be qualitatively different than those evoked by a typical group and this may account for the observed differences. Also, the typical resting state is considered to be of a self referential nature, at least to some extent (Sheline et al. 2009). However, the typical resting state differs from our interoceptive state in that the first one typically involves effortless mind wandering, while the last one requires effortful sustained attention and focusing on self, a state much more "Task related" than the typical resting. By directing typical and ASD subjects to different mental states and by observing opposed differences, the possibility that all the effects observed here reflect a different pattern of thought becomes unlikely but requires of course quantitative argumentation. Future work should elucidate whether indeed the observed imbalances in functional brain connectivity constitute a central aspect of ASD etiology, understand how they may relate to the organization of thought in the different states and validate their potential to become a clinically useful ASD biomarker.

Here we have observed consistent differences between groups and these differences were confirmed in a within group regression analysis. Given the small sample size we used (n=12) this results should be taken cautiously, and as a first step to pave the path into the exploration of a much broader library of mental states in functional brain connectivity.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Human Frontiers Science Program. BW is supported by the CNRS. PB has a fellowship of the National Research Council of Argentina (CONICET) and was supported by a Human Frontiers Science Program research grant. The work is part of a collaborative ECOS project A08S03 between the French CNRS and the Argentinian MinCyT.

Appendix A. Supplementary information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsycho logia.2012.09.047.

References

- Achard, S., Salvador, R., Whitcher, B., Suckling, J., & Bullmore, E. (2006). A resilient, low-frequency, small-world human brain functional network with highly connected association cortical hubs. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 26, 63–72.
- Alexander, A. L., Lee, J. E., Lazar, M., Boudos, R., DuBray, M. B., Oakes, T. R., et al. (2007). Diffusion tensor imaging of the corpus callosum in autism. *Neuroimage*, 34, 61–73.
- Allman, J. M., Watson, K. K., Tetreault, N. A., & Hakeem, A. Y. (2005). Intuition and autism: a possible role for Von Economo neurons. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9, 367–373.
- Anderson, J. S., Nielsen, J. A., Froehlich, A. L., DuBray, M. B., Druzgal, T. J., Cariello, A. N., et al. (2011). Functional connectivity magnetic resonance imaging classification of autism. *Brain*, 134, 3742–3754.
- APA (2000). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (4th ed.). Washinton DC: American Psychiatric Association (Chapter Chapter).
- Barnea-Goraly, N., Lotspeich, L. J., & Reiss, A. L. (2010). Similar white matter aberrations in children with autism and their unaffected siblings: a diffusion tensor imaging study using tract-based spatial statistics. Archives of General Psychiatry, 67, 1052–1060.
- Barttfeld, P., Wicker, B., Cukier, S., Navarta, S., Lew, S., & Sigman, M. (2011). A big-world network in ASD: dynamical connectivity analysis reflects a deficit in long-range connections and an excess of short-range connections. *Neuro*psychologia, 49, 254–263.
- Belmonte, M. K., Allen, G., Beckel-Mitchener, A., Boulanger, L. M., Carper, R. A., & Webb, S. J. (2004). Autism and abnormal development of brain connectivity. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 24, 9228–9231.

- Bird, G., Silani, G., Brindley, R., White, S., Frith, U., & Singer, T. (2010). Empathic brain responses in insula are modulated by levels of alexithymia but not autism. *Brain*, 133, 1515–1525.
- Castelli, F., Frith, C., Happe, F., & Frith, U. (2002). Autism, Asperger syndrome and brain mechanisms for the attribution of mental states to animated shapes. *Brain*, 125, 1839–1849.
- Courchesne, E., Redcay, E., Morgan, J. T., & Kennedy, D. P. (2005). Autism at the beginning: microstructural and growth abnormalities underlying the cognitive and behavioral phenotype of autism. *Development and Psychopathology*, 17, 577–597.
- Craig, A. D. (2002). How do you feel? Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition of the body. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 3, 655–666.
- Craig, A. D. (2009). How do you feel—now? The anterior insula and human awareness. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 10, 59–70.
- Craig, A. D. (2010). The sentient self. Brain Structure and Function, 214, 563-577.
- Craig, A. D. (2011). Significance of the insula for the evolution of human awareness of feelings from the body. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1225, 72–82.
- Critchley, H. D., Wiens, S., Rotshtein, P., Ohman, A., & Dolan, R. J. (2004). Neural systems supporting interoceptive awareness. *Nature Neuroscience*, 7, 189–195.
- Damasio, A. R. (1996). The somatic marker hypothesis and the possible functions of the prefrontal cortex. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences*, 351, 1413–1420.
- Di Martino, A., Kelly, C., Grzadzinski, R., Zuo, X. N., Mennes, M., Mairena, M. A., et al. (2011). Aberrant striatal functional connectivity in children with autism. *Biological Psychiatry*, 69, 847–856.
- Di Martino, A., Ross, K., Uddin, L. Q., Sklar, A. B., Castellanos, F. X., & Milham, M. P. (2009). Functional brain correlates of social and nonsocial processes in autism spectrum disorders: an activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis. *Biological Psychiatry*, 65, 63–74.
- Dichter, G. S., Felder, J. N., & Bodfish, J. W. (2009). Autism is characterized by dorsal anterior cingulate hyperactivation during social target detection. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 4, 215–226.
- Dosenbach, N. U., Fair, D. A., Miezin, F. M., Cohen, A. L., Wenger, K. K., Dosenbach, R. A., et al. (2007). Distinct brain networks for adaptive and stable task control in humans. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 104, 11073–11078.
- Dosenbach, N. U., Nardos, B., Cohen, A. L., Fair, D. A., Power, J. D., Church, J. A., et al. (2010). Prediction of individual brain maturity using fMRI. *Science*, 329, 1358–1361.
- Dumontheil, I., Burgess, P. W., & Blakemore, S. J. (2008). Development of rostral prefrontal cortex and cognitive and behavioural disorders. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 50, 168–181.
- Ecker, C., Marquand, A., Mourao-Miranda, J., Johnston, P., Daly, E. M., Brammer, M. J., et al. (2010). Describing the brain in autism in five dimensions—magnetic resonance imaging-assisted diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder using a multiparameter classification approach. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 30, 10612–10623.
- Ecker, C., Rocha-Rego, V., Johnston, P., Mourao-Miranda, J., Marquand, A., Daly, E. M., et al. (2010). Investigating the predictive value of whole-brain structural MR scans in autism: a pattern classification approach. *Neuroimage*, 49, 44–56.
- Egaas, B., Courchesne, E., & Saitoh, O. (1995). Reduced size of corpus callosum in autism. Archives of Neurology, 52, 794–801.
- Fleming, S. M., Weil, R. S., Nagy, Z., Dolan, R. J., & Rees, G. (2010). Relating introspective accuracy to individual differences in brain structure. *Science*, 329, 1541–1543.
- Fletcher, P. T., Whitaker, R. T., Tao, R., DuBray, M. B., Froehlich, A., Ravichandran, C., et al. (2010). Microstructural connectivity of the arcuate fasciculus in adolescents with high-functioning autism. *Neuroimage*, 51, 1117–1125.
- Formisano, E., De Martino, F., Bonte, M., & Goebel, R. (2008). "Who" is saying "what"? Brain-based decoding of human voice and speech. *Science*, 322, 970–973.
- Fox, M. D., Snyder, A. Z., Vincent, J. L., Corbetta, M., Van Essen, D. C., & Raichle, M. E. (2005). The human brain is intrinsically organized into dynamic, anticorrelated functional networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 102, 9673–9678.
- Frith, U. (2001). Mind blindness and the brain in autism. Neuron, 32, 969-979.
- Fruchterman, T., & Reingold, E. (1991). Graph drawing by force-directed placement. Software—Practice and Experience, 21, 1129–1164.
- Fukunaga, K., & Hummels, D. M. (1989). Leave-one-out procedures for nonparameric error estimates. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 11, 421–423.
- Gallos, L. K., Song, C., Havlin, S., & Makse, H. A. (2007). Scaling theory of transport in complex biological networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* of the United States of America, 104, 7746–7751.
- Golland, P., & Fischl, B. (2003). Permutation tests for classification: towards statistical significance in image-based studies. *Information Processing in Medical Imaging*, 18, 330–341.
- Hadjikhani, N., Joseph, R. M., Snyder, J., & Tager-Flusberg, H. (2006). Anatomical differences in the mirror neuron system and social cognition network in autism. *Cerebral Cortex*, 16, 1276–1282.
- Hardan, A. Y., Muddasani, S., Vemulapalli, M., Keshavan, M. S., & Minshew, N. J. (2006). An MRI study of increased cortical thickness in autism. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 163, 1290–1292.

- Herbert, M. R., Ziegler, D. A., Deutsch, C. K., O'Brien, L. M., Kennedy, D. N., Filipek, P. A., et al. (2005). Brain asymmetries in autism and developmental language disorder: a nested whole-brain analysis. *Brain*, 128, 213–226.
- Just, M. A., Cherkassky, V. L., Keller, T. A., Kana, R. K., & Minshew, N. J. (2007). Functional and anatomical cortical underconnectivity in autism: evidence from an fMRI study of an executive function task and corpus callosum morphometry. *Cerebral Cortex*, 17, 951–961.
- Kana, R. K., Keller, T. A., Cherkassky, V. L., Minshew, N. J., & Just, M. A. (2009). Atypical frontal-posterior synchronization of theory of mind regions in autism during mental state attribution. *Society for Neuroscience*, 4, 135–152.
- Kawakubo, Y., Kuwabara, H., Watanabe, K., Minowa, M., Someya, T., Minowa, I., et al. (2009). Impaired prefrontal hemodynamic maturation in autism and unaffected siblings. *PLoS One*, 4, e6881.
- Kennedy, D. P., & Courchesne, E. (2008). The intrinsic functional organization of the brain is altered in autism. *Neuroimage*, 39, 1877–1885.
- Kloppel, S., Abdulkadir, A., Jack, C. R., Jr., Koutsouleris, N., Mourao-Miranda, J., & Vemuri, P. (2011). Diagnostic neuroimaging across diseases. *Neuroimage*
- Kloppel, S., Stonnington, C. M., Barnes, J., Chen, F., Chu, C., Good, C. D., et al. (2008). Accuracy of dementia diagnosis: a direct comparison between radiologists and a computerized method. *Brain*, 131, 2969–2974.
- Lamm, C., Decety, J., & Singer, T. (2011). Meta-analytic evidence for common and distinct neural networks associated with directly experienced pain and empathy for pain. *Neuroimage*, 54, 2492–2502.
- Lamm, C., & Singer, T. (2010). The role of anterior insular cortex in social emotions. Brain Structure and Function, 214, 579–591.
- Lombardo, M. V., Ashwin, E., Auyeung, B., Chakrabarti, B., Taylor, K., Hackett, G., et al. (2012). Fetal testosterone influences sexually dimorphic gray matter in the human brain. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 32, 674–680.
- Lombardo, M. V., Chakrabarti, B., Bullmore, E. T., Sadek, S. A., Pasco, G., Wheelwright, S. J., et al. (2010). Atypical neural self-representation in autism. *Brain*, 133, 611–624.
- Lord, C., Risi, S., Lambrecht, L., Cook, E. H., Jr., Leventhal, B. L., DiLavore, P. C., et al. (2000). The autism diagnostic observation schedule-generic: a standard measure of social and communication deficits associated with the spectrum of autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 30, 205–223.
- McAlonan, G. M., Cheung, V., Cheung, C., Suckling, J., Lam, G. Y., Tai, K. S., et al. (2005). Mapping the brain in autism. A voxel-based MRI study of volumetric differences and intercorrelations in autism. *Brain*, 128, 268–276.
- Menon, V. (2011). Large-scale brain networks and psychopathology: a unifying triple network model. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *15*, 483–506.
- Menon, V., & Uddin, L. Q. (2010). Saliency, switching, attention and control: a network model of insula function. *Brain Structure and Function*, 214, 655–667.
- Monk, C. S., Peltier, S. J., Wiggins, J. L., Weng, S. J., Carrasco, M., Risi, S., et al. (2009). Abnormalities of intrinsic functional connectivity in autism spectrum disorders. *Neuroimage*, 47, 764–772.
- Nimchinsky, E. A., Gilissen, E., Allman, J. M., Perl, D. P., Erwin, J. M., & Hof, P. R. (1999). A neuronal morphologic type unique to humans and great apes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 96, 5268–5273.
- Norman, K. A., Polyn, S. M., Detre, G. J., & Haxby, J. V. (2006). Beyond mind-reading: multi-voxel pattern analysis of fMRI data. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 10, 424–430.
- Raichle, M. E. (2009). A paradigm shift in functional brain imaging. Journal of Neuroscience, 29, 12729–12734.
- Santos, M., Uppal, N., Butti, C., Wicinski, B., Schmeidler, J., Giannakopoulos, P., et al. (2010). von Economo neurons in autism: a stereologic study of the frontoinsular cortex in children. *Brain Research*, 1380, 206–217.
- Schipul, S. E., Keller, T. A., & Just, M. A. (2011). Inter-regional brain communication and its disturbance in autism. Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience, 5, 10.
- Schmitz, N., Rubia, K., Daly, E., Smith, A., Williams, S., & Murphy, D. G. (2006). Neural correlates of executive function in autistic spectrum disorders. *Biological Psychiatry*, 59, 7–16.
- Seeley, W. W., Menon, V., Schatzberg, A. F., Keller, J., Glover, G. H., Kenna, H., et al. (2007). Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 27, 2349–2356.
- Sheline, Y. I., Barch, D. M., Price, J. L., Rundle, M. M., Vaishnavi, S. N., Snyder, A. Z., et al. (2009). The default mode network and self-referential processes in depression. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106, 1942–1947.
- Silani, G., Bird, G., Brindley, R., Singer, T., Frith, C., & Frith, U. (2008). Levels of emotional awareness and autism: an fMRI study. *Society for Neuroscience*, 3, 97–112.
- Sporns, O., & Zwi, J. D. (2004). The small world of the cerebral cortex. Neuroinformatics, 2, 145–162.
- Sridharan, D., Levitin, D. J., & Menon, V. (2008). A critical role for the right frontoinsular cortex in switching between central-executive and default-mode networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 105, 12569–12574.
- Stamatakis, E. A., Adapa, R. M., Absalom, A. R., & Menon, D. K. (2010). Changes in resting neural connectivity during propofol sedation. *PLoS One*, 5, e14224.
- Straube, T., & Miltner, W. H. (2011). Attention to aversive emotion and specific activation of the right insula and right somatosensory cortex. *Neuroimage*, 54, 2534–2538.
- Supekar, K., Menon, V., Rubin, D., Musen, M., & Greicius, M. D. (2008). Network analysis of intrinsic functional brain connectivity in Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 4, e1000100.

Tang, Y. Y., Rothbart, M., & Posner, M. I. (2012). Neural correlates of establishing, maintaining, and switching brain states. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 16, 330–337.

- Thakkar, K. N., Polli, F. E., Joseph, R. M., Tuch, D. S., Hadjikhani, N., Barton, J. J., et al. (2008). Response monitoring, repetitive behaviour and anterior cingulate abnormalities in autism spectrum disorders (ASD). Brain, 131, 2464–2478.
- Theodoridis, S. K. K. (2009). Pattern recognition: theory and applications, 4th ed. (Chapter).
- Uddin, L. Q., & Menon, V. (2009). The anterior insula in autism: under-connected and under-examined. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 33, 1198–1203.
- Uddin, L. Q., Menon, V., Young, C. B., Ryali, S., Chen, T., Khouzam, A., et al. (2011). Multivariate searchlight classification of structural magnetic resonance imaging in children and adolescents with autism. *Biological Psychiatry*, 70, 833–841.
- van Kooten, I. A., Palmen, S. J., von Cappeln, P., Steinbusch, H. W., Korr, H., Heinsen, H., et al. (2008). Neurons in the fusiform gyrus are fewer and smaller in autism. *Brain*, 131, 987–999.
- Vapnik, V. (1998). Statistical learning theory. Computational learning theory, (Chapter).

- Wass, S. (2011). Distortions and disconnections: disrupted brain connectivity in autism. Brain and Cognition, 75, 18–28.
- Watts, D. J., & Strogatz, S. H. (1998). Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks. Nature, 393, 440–442.
- Weng, S. J., Wiggins, J.L, Peltier, S.J, Carrasco, M, Risi, S, Lord, C, et al. (2010). Alterations of resting state functional connectivity in the default network in adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. *Brain Research*, 1313, 202–214.
- Wicker, B., Fonlupt, P., Hubert, B., Tardif, C., Gepner, B., & Deruelle, C. (2008). Abnormal cerebral effective connectivity during explicit emotional processing in adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 3, 135–143.
- Wicker, B., Keysers, C., Plailly, J., Royet, J. P., Gallese, V., & Rizzolatti, G. (2003). Both of us disgusted in My insula: the common neural basis of seeing and feeling disgust. *Neuron*, 40, 655–664.
- Zikopoulos, B., & Barbas, H. (2010). Changes in prefrontal axons may disrupt the network in autism. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 30, 14595–14609.